MDR Tracking Number: M5-05-1474-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled <u>Medical Dispute Resolution – General and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations</u>, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. This dispute was received on 1-19-05.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the enclosed IRO decision and determined that **the requestor did not prevail** on the issues of medical necessity. The IRO agrees with the previous determination that the work hardening program from 5-14-04 through 6-8-04 was not medically necessary.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that medical necessity fees were not the only fees involved in the medical dispute to be resolved.

On 2-28-05 the Medical Review Division submitted a Notice to requestor to submit additional documentation necessary to support the charges and to challenge the reasons the respondent had denied reimbursement within 14 days of the requestor's receipt of the Notice.

CPT code 97110 on 4-26-04, 5-6-04 and 5-10-04 was denied by the carrier with an N – Additional documentation required." Recent review of disputes involving CPT Code 97110 by the Medical Dispute Resolution section indicate overall deficiencies in the adequacy of the documentation of this Code both with respect to the medical necessity of one-on-one therapy and documentation reflecting that these individual services were provided as billed. Moreover, the disputes indicate confusion regarding what constitutes "one-on-one." Therefore, consistent with the general obligation set forth in Section 413.016 of the Labor Code, the Medical Review Division has reviewed the matters in light all of the Commission requirements for proper documentation. The MRD declines to order payment because the SOAP notes do not clearly delineate exclusive one-on-one treatment nor did the requestor identify the severity of the injury to warrant exclusive one-to-one therapy. **Reimbursement not recommended.**

CPT codes 97545-WH-CA on 5-13-04 was denied with an F – Payment for interdisciplinary programs not accredited by CARF are reduced 20% below the maximum allowed reimbursement for that program." This provider is CARF accredited. The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$102.40 for this service. **Recommend additional reimbursement of \$25.60.**

CPT codes 97546-WH-CA on 5-13-04 was denied with an F – Payment for interdisciplinary programs not accredited by CARF are reduced 20% below the maximum allowed reimbursement for that program." This provider is CARF accredited. The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$256.00 for this service. **Recommend additional reimbursement of \$64.00.**

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the Respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees totaling \$89.60 from outlined above as follows:

- In accordance with Medicare program reimbursement methodologies for dates of service <u>on or after August 1, 2003</u> per Commission Rule 134.202 (c);
- plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this Order.

This Decision and Order is hereby issued this day 22nd day of April 2005.

Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

Enclosure: IRO Decision

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

April 15, 2005

Program Administrator Medical Review Division Texas Workers Compensation Commission 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, MS 48 Austin, TX 78744-1609

RE: Injured Worker:

MDR Tracking #: M5-05-1474-01 IRO Certificate #: IRO4326

The Texas Medical Foundation (TMF) has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review organization (IRO). The Texas Workers' Compensation Commission (TWCC) has assigned the above referenced case to TMF for independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule §133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.

TMF has performed an independent review of the rendered care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, relevant medical records, any documents utilized by the parties referenced above in making the adverse determination, and any documentation and written information submitted in support of the appeal was reviewed.

The independent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating health care professional. This case was reviewed by a health care professional licensed in Chiropractic Medicine. TMF's health care professional has signed a certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to the referral to TMF for independent review. In addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed without bias for or against any party to this case.

Clinical History

This 22 year-old female injured her head and right shoulder on ____ when she was blown against a door by the wind while throwing away trash. She now complains of shoulder, neck, and back pain. She has been treated with work hardening and Tylenol.

Requested Service(s)

Work hardening, work hardening add-on for dates of service 05/14/04 through 06/08/04

Decision

It is determined that there is no medical necessity for the work hardening, work hardening add-on for dates of service 05/14/04 through 06/08/04

Rationale/Basis for Decision

According to current medical standards of care, rehabilitative exercises may be performed in a clinic one-on-one, in a clinic in a group, at a gym or at home with the least costly of these options being a home program. A home exercise program is also preferable because the patient can perform them on a daily basis. On the most basic level, medical record documentation failed to establish why these services were required to be performed one-on-one in a clinical setting. Therefore, the work hardening

program and work hardening add-on services for dates of service 05/14/04 through 06/08/04 were not medically necessary to treat this patient's medical condition.

Sincerely,

Gordon B. Strom, Jr., MD Director of Medical Assessment

GBS:dm

Attachment

Attachment

Information Submitted to TMF for TWCC Review

Patient Name:

TWCC ID #: M5-05-1474-01

Information Submitted by Requestor:

- Functional capacity evaluation
- Diagnostic Tests
- Peer Review
- Designated Doctor Evaluation
- Initial Report of Injury
- Consult
- Work Hardening
- Claims
- Requests and Miscellaneous

Information Submitted by Respondent: